COUNTY OF KINGS	Index No.:	/2014 /2014
ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO,		
Plaintiff,		
-against-	VEDIETED COLUB	A TA IDO
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY, Tax ID No.: 950586, individual and in his official capacity as a New York City Police Officer,	VERIFIED COMPL	AINT
Defendants.		
X		

Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO by his attorneys NOVO LAW FIRM, PC complaining of Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY respectfully alleges, upon information and belief:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was, and still is, an individual residing at 378 Tompkins Ave, #1, in the City of Brooklyn, County of Kings and State of New York.
- 2. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, a municipal corporation duly incorporated and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, a municipal corporation established and maintained by Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

(

- 4. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York. It is authorized to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT.
- Defendant POLICE OFFICE BRIAN HICKEY was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, duly appointed and acting officer, servant, employee and agent of Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT a municipal agency of Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK.
- Defendant POLICE OFFICE BRIAN HICKEY was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, acting under color of state law in the course and scope of his duties and functions as an officer, agent, servant, and employee of Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK was acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in him by Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and was otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of him lawful functions in the course of her duties.
- 7. Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY was, and still is, at all times relevant herein, a male New York City Police Officer, bearing Tax ID No.: 950586, assigned to the 7th precinct located at 19 ½ Pitt Street, New York, New York 10038.
- 8. On April 23, 2013, and within the time prescribed by law, a sworn Notice of Claim stating, among other things, the time when and the place where the injuries and damages were sustained, together with Plaintiff's demands for adjustment thereof was duly served on

- Plaintiff's behalf on the Comptroller of Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK and that, thereafter, said Comptroller for Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK refused or neglected for more than thirty (30) days, and up to the commencement of this action, to make any adjustment or payment thereof, and that, thereafter, and within the time provided by law, this action was commenced.
- 9. Two written requests, as well as many phone calls have been made to Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK to schedule a hearing pursuant to General Municipal Law §50(h) and to date, the hearing has not been held. Thus, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO is in compliance with General Municipal Law §50(e) as he is ready, willing and able to testify upon receipt of a scheduled date.

FACTS

- 10. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 11. On February 24, 2013, at approximately 2:35 A.M., Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** was a lawful pedestrian standing on the sidewalk at or around or near the corner of Broome Street and Essex Street, in the County of New York, City of New York and State of New York.
- Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was approached by Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY a uniformed New York City Police Officer who, without reasonable suspicion, without probable cause and without any threat or reasonable belief of unlawful activity, proceeded to unlawfully arrest and handcuff him without any indication as to what he had done wrong and/or what, if any, crime(s) he had committed.

- 13. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was then subject to unlawful pat downs and searches by Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY without any probable cause or reasonable suspicion to do so.
- 14. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** was then placed into a patrol vehicle awaiting for transport to the 7th precinct.
- 15. Upon information and belief, while in the patrol vehicle, Plaintiff made several complaints of the handcuffs being too tight, he pleaded and requested for the officers to loosen the handcuffs, the officers refused to loosen them, as a result, his wrists were bruised, swollen and he was in pain for several weeks thereafter.
- 16. Humiliated and distraught, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was transported to the 7th precinct located at 191/2 Pitt Street, in the County of New York, City of New York and State of New York, where he was fingerprinted, photographed, placed in a holding cell and otherwise ignored and denied any explanation for such detention.
- 17. After being held for multiple hours in the 7th precinct, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was transported to Central Bookings where again, he was unlawfully fingerprinted, photographed, searched, and again placed into another holding cell.
- 18. Upon information and belief, at no point did Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** resist arrest or disobey the arresting officer's commands.
- 19. Upon information and belief, while in custody, Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** was denied food and water.
- 20. Upon information and belief, after well over forty-eight (48) hours, of being detained without probable cause, under inhumane and otherwise unsanitary conditions, deprived of food, water

- and any reason or explanation, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was finally released on his own recognizance.
- Upon information and belief, at no time was Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO read his Miranda Rights.
- 22. On May 25, 2013, Hon. Judge Ross dismissed and sealed all charges against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK

- 23. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 24. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK acting through its police department and through Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had in effect actual and/or <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- At all times material to this complaint, Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK acting through its police department, and through Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had in effect and/or <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs and usages of failing to properly train, screen, supervise and discipline employees and police officers, and of failing to inform the individual Defendant's supervisors of the need to train, screen, supervise and discipline said Defendant. The policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 26. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK acting through its police department, and through

Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY being aware that such lack of training, screening, supervision, and discipline leads to improper conduct, acted with deliberate indifference in failing to establish a program of effective training, screening, supervision and discipline. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK being aware that the persistent and substantial risk of improper detention of persons based upon insufficient or incorrect information, and effective training, screening, supervision and discipline would lessen the likelihood of such occurrences. There are recurrent circumstances which involve such potential danger to the constitutional rights of citizens, more specifically Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO and which are officially tolerated by Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK. Such policies, practices, customs or usages were a direct and proximate cause of the conduct alleged herein and otherwise a direct and proximate cause of the harm/damages alleged herein, in violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the United States Constitution, including its Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.

27. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** was deprived of his liberty, suffered specific psychological and emotional injuries and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK

- 28. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 29. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT acting through its police department and through Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN

- HICKEY had in effect actual and/or <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 30. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT acting through Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had in effect and/or <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs and usages of failing to properly train, screen, supervise and discipline employees and police officers, and of failing to inform the individual Defendant's supervisors of the need to train, screen, supervise and discipline said Defendant. The policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY being aware that such lack of training, screening, supervision, and discipline leads to improper conduct, acted with deliberate indifference in failing to establish a program of effective training, screening, supervision and discipline. Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT being aware that the persistent and substantial risk of improper detention of persons based upon insufficient or incorrect information, and effective training, screening, supervision and discipline would lessen the likelihood of such occurrences. There are recurrent circumstances which involve such potential danger to the constitutional rights of citizens, more specifically Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO and which are officially tolerated by Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT. Such policies, practices, customs or usages were a direct and proximate cause of the conduct alleged herein and otherwise a direct and proximate cause of the harm/damages alleged herein, in violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the United States Constitution, including its Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.

32. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** was deprived of his liberty, suffered specific psychological and emotional injuries and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 BY POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY

- 33. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 34. By their conduct and actions in arresting, searching, imprisoning, failing to intercede on behalf of Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO in failing, refusing and/or withholding medical attention and/or other medical needs and in failing to protect his from the unjustified and unconstitutional treatment he received at the hands of Defendant. Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY acting with animus, and under color of law and without lawful justification, intentionally, maliciously, and with deliberate indifference to and/or a reckless disregard for the natural and probable consequences of his acts, caused injury and damage in violation of Plaintiff's due process clause and constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the United States Constitution, including its Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.
- 35. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered specific psychological and emotional injuries and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FALSE ARREST, FALSE IMPRISONMENT and/or MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

- 36. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 37. By the actions described above, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY caused Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO to be falsely arrested and falsely imprisoned and/or maliciously prosecuted without probable cause, without reasonable suspicion, illegally, without any proper claims, and without any right or authority to do so. The acts and conduct of Defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to Plaintiff and violated his statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws of the Constitution of the State of New York.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered a loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION MALICIOUS ABUSE OF PROCESS

- 39. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 40. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY issued legal process to place Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO, under arrest and subject him to prosecution.

- 41. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY arrested and participated in prosecuting Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO, in order to obtain collateral objectives outside the legitimate ends of the legal process.
- 42. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY arrested and participated in prosecuting Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO in order to obtain the collateral objective of intimidating Plaintiff for their personal interest and further to prevent Plaintiff from disclosing the aforementioned evidence of NYPD misconduct and excessive force.
- 43. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY acted with intent to do harm to Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO without excuse or justification.
- 44. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered a loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION DERELICTION OF DUTY. DEPRAVED INDIFFERENCE and FAILURE TO INTERCEDE

- 45. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 46. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY were under a duty of safeguarding the public and

- ensuring the appropriate execution of the New York Police Department's role. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO duly relied on Defendants' fulfillment of their policing duties.
- 47. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had an affirmative duty to intercede when Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO's constitutional rights were being violated in Defendants' presence.
- 48. At the time of the incident, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY were observing and aware of the wrongful acts against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO.
- 49. At the time of the incident, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY neglected to intervene on Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO's behalf in dereliction of their duty to Plaintiff and in depraved indifference to Plaintiff's well-being.
- Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY violated Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO's constitutional rights when they failed to intercede and prevent the violation or further violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights and the injuries or further injuries caused as a result of said failure.
- Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had an affirmative duty to intercede when Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO's constitutional rights were being violated in Defendants' presence by falsifying evidence of probable cause to arrest and prosecute Plaintiff.

As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered a loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA BATTERY

- 53. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO**, repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 54. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, are vicariously liable to Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO for the individual Defendant's POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY common tort of battery via the principle of respondent superior and that New York CPLR § 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR § 1602(1)(b).
- Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY committed a battery on Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO by being handcuffed, pushed, shoved, fingerprinted and searched. The deprivation of food, water and sanitary conditions while in custody, was harmful, un-consented, and unjustified and in so doing, Defendant violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated Plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- That by reason of the battery, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was harmed physically and emotionally, all while unlawfully and illegally detained, and that Plaintiff was otherwise harmed as a result of the Defendant's actions.

57. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered a loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT UNDER NEW YORK STATE LAW VIA ASSAULT

- 58. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- That on the aforementioned date, time and place, Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY committed the tort of assault against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing, Defendant violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated Plaintiff's rights under New York Law
- 60. That Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT is vicariously liable to Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO for the individual Defendant's POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY common law tort of assault via the principle of respondent superior and that New York CPLR § 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR § 1602(1)(b).
- That by reason of the aforesaid committed by Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO suffered and continues to suffer physical injury and that he was otherwise damaged.

As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered a loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENCE

- 63. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as though fully stated herein.
- 64. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY negligently caused injuries and otherwise damaged Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO. The acts and conduct of Defendant were the direct and proximate cause of injury to Plaintiff and violated his statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- 65. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered specific psychological and emotional injuries and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS

- 66. Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO** repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as thought fully stated herein.
- 67. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY individually and collectively are liable pursuant to 42

- U.S.C. § 1983 for abuses against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO that shock the conscience in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY individually and collectively are liable for abuses against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO that shock the conscience in violation of Article 1, § 5 of the New York State Constitution.
- 69. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY individually and collectively are liable for abuses against Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO that shock the conscience in violation of New York law, rules and regulations.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO, was deprived of his liberty, suffered loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, physical injury, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged.

AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT HIRING, SCREENING, RETENTION, SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

- 71. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as thought fully stated herein.
- 72. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY
 POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to manage,
 control, and supervise Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY.

- 73. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT negligently hired, screened, retained, disciplined, supervised and trained Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY.
- 74. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to hire qualified and sufficient personnel in connection with the operation, management control, teaching at and/or supervision of Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY.
- 75. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY

 POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to train their

 employees so as to enable them to properly maintain order and control.
- 76. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to promulgate proper and/or adequate rules and regulations governing the proper care, guidance and/or supervision to be provided and rendered by those agents, servants, officers and/or employees hired as New York City Police Officers.
- 77. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY

 POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to provide a safe
 and proper environment.
- 78. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY

 POLICE DEPARTMENT owed Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO a duty to prevent from
 being assaulted and battered while in their custody and control.
- 79. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY

 POLICE DEPARTMENT and/or said Defendants' agents, servants, employees and/or

licensees were, jointly, severally and concurrently, negligent, careless and reckless in individually and collectively breaching each and every duty owed to Plaintiff **ALEXANDRO S**. **RITONDO**.

- 80. The aforesaid occurrence was caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and/or said Defendants' agents, servants, employees and/or licensees, without any fault or negligence on the part of Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO contributing thereto.
- 81. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK acting through Defendant NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and through Defendant POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY had defacto to policies, practices, customs and usage, which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, physical injury, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL HARM

- 83. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as thought fully stated herein.
- 84. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY negligently caused emotional distress and damage to Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO. The acts and conduct of Defendants were the direct and proximate cause of emotional injury to Plaintiff and violated his statutory and common law

- rights as guaranteed by the laws in the U.S. Constitution, the Constitution of the State of New York, and under the Charter, laws, rules and regulations of the City of New York.
- As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, physical injury, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL HARM

- 86. Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO repeats and reiterates the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as thought fully stated herein.
- 87. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY knowingly, unreasonably and maliciously sought to disturb Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO by their individual and collective outrageous conduct.
- 88. This conduct includes, without being limited to, assaulting and battering Plaintiff

 ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO while he was bound by handcuffs in their custody; unreasonably

 detaining him; depriving him of food, water and proper medical care and subjecting him to

 multiple unreasonable searches and other intimidation tactics and violated his statutory and

 common law rights as guaranteed by the laws in the U.S. Constitution, the Constitution of the

 State of New York, and under the Charter, laws, rules and regulations of the City of New York.
- 89. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY have caused Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO humiliation, anxiety, fear, sleeplessness and sever distress.

90. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO was deprived of his liberty, suffered loss of quality and/or enjoyment of life, physical injury, economic injury, psychological injury and emotional distress, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO demands judgment against Defendants herein, in a sum exceeding the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated:

New York, New York

February 19, 2014

Yours, etc.

Ellie A. Silverman, Esq. NOVO LAW FIRM, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO

299 Broadway, 17th Floor

New York, New York 10007

212-233-6686

Our File No. 13-2821

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

ELLIE A. SILVERMAN, an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of New York, affirms the following to be true under the penalties of perjury:

I am an attorney at NOVO LAW FIRM, PC, attorneys of record for Plaintiff ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO. I have read the annexed VERIFIED COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof, and the same are true to my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. My belief, as to those matters therein not stated upon knowledge, is based upon facts, records, and other pertinent information contained in my files.

This verification is made by me because Plaintiff is not presently in the county wherein I maintain my offices.

Dated:

New York, New York February 19, 2014

Ellie A. Silverman, Esq.

Index No.:		
SUPREME	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO	RK
COUNTY (

ALEXANDROS. RITONDO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY, Tax ID No.: 950586, individual and in his official capacity as a New York City Police Officer,

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT

NOVO LAW FIRM, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ALEXANDRO S. RITONDO

299 Broadway, 17th Floor New York, New York 10007 (212) 233-6686 Fax (212) 233-6687

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information and belief and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous.

Dated: February 19, 2014

Ellie A. Silverman, Esq.

TO:

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Municipal Building · New York, New York 10007 NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, 100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007 POLICE OFFICER BRIAN HICKEY, Tax ID No.: 950586, 19 ½ Pitt Street, New York, New York 10038